



Translating the Genomics Revolution: The Need for an International Gene Therapy Consortium for Monogenic Diseases

Citation

Tremblay, Jacques P, Xiao Xiao, Annemieke Aartsma-Rus, Carlos Barbas, Helen M Blau, Adam J Bogdanove, Kym Boycott, et al. 2013. Translating the genomics revolution: the need for an international gene therapy consortium for monogenic diseases. *Molecular Therapy* 21(2): 266-268.

Published Version

doi:10.1038/mt.2013.4

Permanent link

<http://nrs.harvard.edu/urn-3:HUL.InstRepos:10655810>

Terms of Use

This article was downloaded from Harvard University's DASH repository, and is made available under the terms and conditions applicable to Other Posted Material, as set forth at <http://nrs.harvard.edu/urn-3:HUL.InstRepos:dash.current.terms-of-use#LAA>

Share Your Story

The Harvard community has made this article openly available.
Please share how this access benefits you. [Submit a story](#).

[Accessibility](#)

Translating the Genomics Revolution: The Need for an International Gene Therapy Consortium for Monogenic Diseases

To the editor:

Over the past decade, gene therapy has been successfully used to treat several monogenic disorders, and it shows promise for treating diseases of more complex etiology. In addition, the recent development of induced pluripotent stem cells now opens the possibility of transplanting genetically corrected autologous cells.^{1,2} Very recently, the European Medicines Agency approved the first gene therapy treatment in the Western world.³ The substantial progress over the preceding decades arguably portends the development of gene therapies for most monogenic diseases. Given this remarkable opportunity, we are proposing the creation of an International Gene Therapy Consortium for Monogenic Diseases. This consortium would facilitate coordination of the production and availability of a variety of vectors, oligonucleotides, and recombinant proteins—including zinc-finger nucleases and Tal effector nucleases—as well as support the development of suitable animal models, preclinical studies, and clinical trials. Financial resources should be developed so as to attract collaborations from the private sector to boost the development of a gene therapy industry, similar to the way the Apollo project to explore the moon stimulated growth of the space and computer industries in the 1960s. In this century, a similar concerted effort will be required to develop effective treatments and even cures of diseases here on Earth!

A model for such a consortium can be found in the field of genomics. Advances in genomics have been rapid, owing in large part to the formation of international consortia such as the Human Genome and the ENCODE (Encyclopedia of DNA Elements) projects. These consortia have been awarded

large budgets by various government agencies that have permitted intense collaboration among scientists as well as engagement of industry for the development of supporting technologies. The funding made available for these projects contrasts sharply with the relatively limited budgets that have been available for gene therapy research. Typically, most gene therapy researchers work as small teams on a specific disease with a relatively small budget. Moreover, the funding for gene therapy research tends to be piecemeal, with part coming from private foundations supported by patients, parents, and friends. Although these small groups can provide proof of concept for a gene therapy approach in cell and animal models, they generally lack the expertise and funding to efficiently translate their strategies to a clinical trial.

The fragmentation of gene therapy research efforts and the limited funding thus present significant hurdles for clinical translation. The establishment of an international gene therapy consortium would allow these small groups to tap into broader expertise and infrastructure, increasing the likelihood of a potentially beneficial treatment moving to clinical trials. There are already smaller consortia that can serve as examples. Indeed, European Union-sponsored collaborative networks in Europe have demonstrated the advantages of consortia-fostered collaboration among basic scientists, clinical investigators, industry, patient organizations and regulatory authorities. This format of collaboration and interactive multidisciplinary networks is ideally suited to address the various challenges of this multifaceted field. Consequently, such a concerted effort is much more cost-effective. One such group, the Transatlantic Gene Therapy Consortium, has successfully developed gene therapy strategies and trials predominantly for rare hematologic and immunologic diseases. In the EU Seventh Framework Programme, two pan-European translational projects have been funded, one focusing on neurological and neurodegenerative diseases

(NEUROMICS), the other on rare diseases of the kidney (EuRenOmics). In the United States, the Rare Diseases Clinical Research Network was funded by the National Institutes of Health and the Office for Rare Diseases Research in order to facilitate collaboration among experts in many types of rare diseases. The FORGE Canada project, a national consortium of clinicians and scientists, is using next-generation sequencing technology to identify genes responsible for 200 rare pediatric-onset disorders and investigate their molecular etiology. The International Rare Diseases Research Consortium (IRDiRC), launched in April 2011, aims to foster international collaboration, maximizing resources and coordinating efforts in rare-diseases research. Worldwide sharing of information, data, and samples is currently hampered by the absence of an exhaustive rare-disease classification, standard terms of reference, common ontologies, and harmonized regulatory requirements. The IRDiRC has two main objectives to achieve by the year 2020: to deliver 200 new therapies for rare diseases and the means to diagnose most rare diseases. The group will next develop the scientific and policy framework to guide research activities and foster collaboration among the stakeholders to systematically explore the opportunities to accelerate the development of diagnostics and therapies for rare diseases. However, it should be emphasized that currently the majority of the 200 therapies sought by this consortium are based on the use of small molecules rather than on gene and/or cell therapy.

We believe that there is a need for a larger gene therapy consortium, with a larger budget, to focus on developing definitive gene and cell therapy treatments for most monogenic hereditary diseases over the next 20 years. This consortium will permit the development of focused areas of expertise. A major impediment to the commercialization of gene therapy for rare diseases lies in the lack of a sound business model for companies owing to the small number of patients, the fact that a single

treatment can cure a patient for life, and the requirements for long-term evaluation by federal agencies. As recently suggested, public funds could be used to pay for centralized manufacturing facilities and to subsidize enterprises with the necessary expertise, as has been done for vaccines.⁴ A worldwide consortium would also facilitate the assembly of larger cohorts of patients with specific rare diseases, which are present in very small numbers in individual countries; this would allow for more robust clinical trial designs. Finally, a gene therapy consortium could facilitate long-term evaluation of integration sites and adverse events so as to better track the safety of new therapies. The creation of an International Gene Therapy Consortium for Monogenic Diseases would thus be, for these diseases, the first concrete step toward the personalized medicine that genomic research makes possible. It should be emphasized that some of these diseases (e.g., sickle cell disease and β -thalassemia) affect millions of peoples.

Like mankind's quest to travel to the moon in the 1960s, this proposal represents a grand challenge, but we have a societal obligation to the rare-disease community to collaborate and build the infrastructure to meet it. With an international consortium in place, it is more likely that therapies will be established that help patients not only in the developed world but also in less developed parts of our planet. Importantly, current scientific developments make this a timely challenge, and, in the long term, gene therapies for these diseases should become cost-effective. Just as we witnessed with the Human Genome Project, the technologies to correct the human genome will progress over the years with the appropriate incentives, generating a boost for the new knowledge-based economy. The time to take this step is now.

The scientists and directors of foundations or patient associations that would like to support the creation of such a consortium are invited to e-mail Jacques P. Tremblay at Jacques-P.Tremblay@crchul.ulaval.ca.

doi:10.1038/mt.2013.4

Jacques P Tremblay¹, Xiao Xiao², Annemieke Aartsma-Rus³, Carlos Barbas⁴, Helen M Blau⁵, Adam J Bogdanove⁶, Kym Boycott⁷, Serge Braun⁸, Xandra O Breakefield⁹, Juan A Bueren¹⁰, Michael Buschmann¹¹, Barry J Byrne¹², Michele Calos¹³, Toni Cathomen¹⁴, Jeffrey Chamberlain¹⁵, Marinee Chuah¹⁶, Kenneth Cornetta¹⁷, Kay E Davies¹⁸, J George Dickson¹⁹, Philippe Duchateau²⁰, Terence R Flotte²¹, Daniel Gaudet²², Charles A Gersbach²³, Renald Gilbert²⁴, Joseph Glorioso²⁵, Roland W Herzog²⁶, Katherine A High²⁷, Wenlin Huang²⁸, Johnny Huard²⁹, J Keith Joun³⁰, Depei Liu³¹, Dexi Liu³², Hanns Lochmüller³³, Lawrence Lustig³⁴, Jeffrey Martens³⁵, Bernard Massie³⁶, Fulvio Mavilio³⁷, Jerry R Mendell³⁸, Amit Nathwani³⁹, Katherine Ponder⁴⁰, Matthew Porteus⁴¹, Jack Puymirat⁴², Jude Samulski⁴³, Shin'ichi Takeda⁴⁴, Adrian Thrasher⁴⁵, Thierry VandenDriessche⁴⁶, Yuquan Wei⁴⁷, James M Wilson⁴⁸, Steve D Wilton⁴⁹, John H Wolfe⁵⁰ and Guangping Gao⁵¹

¹Centre de Recherche du CHU and Department of Molecular Medicine, Université Laval, Quebec, Quebec, Canada; ²University of North Carolina School of Pharmacy, Chapel Hill, North Carolina, USA; ³Department of Human Genetics, Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden, The Netherlands; ⁴The Skaggs Institute for Chemical Biology and the Departments of Molecular Biology and Chemistry, The Scripps Research Institute, La Jolla, California, USA; ⁵Baxter Laboratory for Stem Cell Biology, Stanford University School of Medicine, Stanford, California, USA; ⁶Department of Plant Pathology and Plant-Microbe Biology, Cornell University, Ithaca, New York, USA; ⁷Children's Hospital of Eastern Ontario Research Institute, University of Ottawa, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada; ⁸AFM Telethon, Paris, France; ⁹Department of Neurology, Massachusetts General Hospital and Neuroscience Program, Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts, USA; ¹⁰Hematopoietic Innovative Therapies Division, Centro de Investigaciones Energéticas, Medioambientales y Tecnológicas and Centro de Investigación en Red de Enfermedades Raras (CIEMAT/CIBERER), Madrid, Spain; ¹¹Department of Chemical Engineering and Institute of Biomedical Engineering, Ecole Polytechnique, Montreal, Quebec, Canada; ¹²Department of Pediatrics, University of Florida, Gainesville, Florida, USA; ¹³Department of Genetics, Stanford University School of Medicine, Stanford, California, USA; ¹⁴Laboratory

of Cell and Gene Therapy, Center for Chronic Immunodeficiency, University Medical Center Freiburg, Freiburg, Germany; ¹⁵Departments of Neurology, Medicine, and Biochemistry, University of Washington School of Medicine, Seattle, Washington, USA; ¹⁶Department of Gene Therapy and Regenerative Medicine, Vrije Universiteit Brussel and Department of Cardiovascular Sciences, Center for Molecular and Vascular Biology, University of Leuven, Leuven, Belgium; ¹⁷Department of Medical and Molecular Genetics, Indiana University School of Medicine, Indianapolis, Indiana, USA; ¹⁸MRC Functional Genomics Unit, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK; ¹⁹School of Biological Sciences, Royal Holloway-University of London, Egham, Surrey, UK; ²⁰Cellectis, Paris, France; ²¹Gene Therapy Center and Department of Pediatrics, University of Massachusetts Medical School, Worcester, Massachusetts, USA; ²²Department of Medicine, Université de Montréal, Montreal, Quebec, Canada; ²³Department of Biomedical Engineering and Institute for Genome Science and Policy, Duke University, Durham, North Carolina, USA; ²⁴Biotechnology Research Institute, Montreal, Quebec, Canada; ²⁵Department of Microbiology and Molecular Genetics, School of Medicine, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, USA; ²⁶Department of Pediatrics, University of Florida, Florida, USA; ²⁷Perelman School of Medicine at the University of Pennsylvania and Howard Hughes Medical Institute at the Children's Hospital of Philadelphia, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, USA; ²⁸State Key Laboratory of Oncology in South China, Cancer Center, Sun Yat-Sen University, Guangzhou, China; ²⁹Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, USA; ³⁰Molecular Pathology Unit, Massachusetts General Hospital, Charlestown and Department of Pathology, Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts, USA; ³¹Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, State Key Laboratory of Medical Molecular Biology, Institute of Basic Medical Sciences, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences and Peking Union Medical College, Beijing, China; ³²Department of Pharmaceutical and Biomedical Sciences, University of Georgia College of Pharmacy, Athens, Georgia, USA; ³³Institute of Genetic Medicine, Newcastle University, Newcastle Upon Tyne, UK; ³⁴Department of Otolaryngology-Head and Neck Surgery, University of California, San Francisco, San Francisco, California, USA; ³⁵Department of Pharmacology, University of Michigan Medical School, Ann Arbor, Michigan, USA; ³⁶Biotechnology Research Institute, Montreal, Quebec, Canada; ³⁷Genethon, Evry, France; ³⁸Paul D. Wellstone Center, Nationwide Children's Hospital and The Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio, USA; ³⁹Department of Haematology, UCL Cancer Institute, London, UK; ⁴⁰Department of Internal Medicine, Washington University, St Louis, Missouri, USA; ⁴¹Department of Pediatrics, Stanford University School of

Medicine, Stanford, California, USA; ⁴²Centre de Recherche du CHU and Department of Molecular Medicine, Université Laval, Quebec, Quebec, Canada; ⁴³Cardiothoracic Division, Department of Surgery, Duke University Medical Center, Durham, North Carolina, USA; ⁴⁴National Center of Neurology and Psychiatry, Tokyo, Japan; ⁴⁵UCL Institute of Child Health, London, UK; ⁴⁶Department of Gene Therapy and Regenerative Medicine, Vrije Universiteit Brussel and Department of Cardiovascular Sciences, Center for Molecular and Vascular Biology, University of Leuven, Leuven, Belgium; ⁴⁷National Gene Therapy

Program, National Key Laboratory of Biotherapy, and Sichuan University, Chengdu, China; ⁴⁸Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, USA; ⁴⁹Centre for Neuromuscular and Neurological Disorders, University of Western Australia, Crawley, Australia; ⁵⁰University of Pennsylvania and Children's Hospital of Philadelphia, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, USA; ⁵¹University of Massachusetts Medical School, Worcester, MA, USA

Correspondence: Jacques P Tremblay (Jacques-P.Tremblay@crchul.ulaval.ca)

REFERENCES

1. Takahashi, K and Yamanaka, S (2006). Induction of pluripotent stem cells from mouse embryonic and adult fibroblast cultures by defined factors. *Cell* **126**: 663–676.
2. Gurdon, JB, Byrne, JA and Simonsson, S (2003). Nuclear reprogramming and stem cell creation. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **100** (suppl. 1): 11819–11822.
3. Gaudet, D, Méthot, J, Déry, S, Brisson, D, Essiembre, C, Tremblay, G *et al.* (2012). Efficacy and long-term safety of alipogene tiparvovec (AAV1-LPL(S447X)) gene therapy for lipoprotein lipase deficiency: an open-label trial. *Gene Ther*, e-pub ahead of print 21 June 2012.
4. Mavilio, F (2012). Gene therapies need new development models. *Nature* **490**: 7.